Measurements of the Charmed Mesons Hadronic Branching Ratios at 4.03 GeV

<u>A Direct Measurement of</u> <u>the Absolute Branching Ratio</u> <u> $Br(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+)$ </u>

Kanglin He, Jimao Ma

IHEP, Beijing

April 1999

Email:

hekl@e781b.ihep.ac.cn

Introduction

- $Br(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+)$ and $Br(D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+)$ are <u>important to D</u> physics and <u>B</u> physics.
 - 1. It's the normalization constant of BR for other D decay modes.
 - 2. $b_{quark} \rightarrow (\approx 1.3)c_{quark}$
 - 3. The element of CKM: $|V_{cs}|, |V_{cd}|, |V_{bd}|$
- Measurements of $Br(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+)$ from other experiments:
 - 1. MarkIII (at $\psi(3770)$, using <u>double tag</u> method).

2. ALEPH, CLEO, AUGUS etc. (at High Energy region, using decay chain $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0$, searching for the soft pion).

There is a little difference between the result from MarkIII and that from High Energy experiments.

- BES has collected $22pb^{-1}$ data at 4.03 GeV. Base on this data sample, we got $\approx 8000D^{0}$ tags and $\approx 2700D^{+}$ tags.
 - 1. D meson may be produced via several procedures. ($D\overline{D^*}, D^*\overline{D^*}, D\overline{D}$, including Charged and Neutral channels).
 - 2. The decay of D^{*+} will cause the 'mixing' of D^{0} and D^{+} .
 - 3. Kinematical fit could not be used in analysis.
 - 4. Difficulty to detect the soft pions.

Single Tags and Double Tags

Modes		$D\overline{D}^*$		$D^*\overline{D^*}$		$D\overline{D}$	
Tags		$D^0 \overline{D^{*0}}$	$D^{-}D^{*+}$	$D^{^{st 0}}\overline{D^{^{st 0}}}$	$D^{*-}D^{*+}$	$D^0\overline{D^0}$	D^+D^-
Single	D^{0}	$2\varepsilon_i B_i$	$oldsymbol{arepsilon}_i oldsymbol{B}_i oldsymbol{B}_0^+$	$2\varepsilon_i B_i$	$2\varepsilon_i B_i B_0^+$	$2\varepsilon_i B_i$	0
Tags	D^+	0	$\varepsilon_{1i}B_i + \varepsilon_{2i}B_i(1-B_0^+)$	0	$2\varepsilon_i B_i (1-B_0^+)$	0	$2\varepsilon_i B_i$
Double Tags	D^0 vs $\overline{D^0}$	$arepsilon_{ii}B_iB_i \ 2arepsilon_{ij}B_iB_j$	0	$arepsilon_{ii}B_iB_i \ 2arepsilon_{ij}B_iB_j$	$arepsilon_{ii}B_iB_i(B_0^+)^2 \ 2arepsilon_{ij}B_iB_j(B_0^+)^2$	$arepsilon_{ii}B_iB_i \ 2arepsilon_{ij}B_iB_j$	0
	D^+ vs D^-	0	$\varepsilon_{ii}B_iB_i(1-B_0^+)$ $2\varepsilon_{ij}B_iB_j(1-B_0^+)$	0	$\varepsilon_{ii}B_iB_i(1-B_0^+)^2$ $2\varepsilon_{ij}B_iB_j(1-B_0^+)^2$	0	$arepsilon_{ii}B_iB_i \ 2arepsilon_{ij}B_iB_j$
	D^0 vs D^-	0	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{ij} \boldsymbol{B}_i \boldsymbol{B}_j \boldsymbol{B}_0^+$	0	$2\varepsilon_{ij}B_iB_j(1-B_0^+)B_0^+$	0	0
$B_0^+ = Br(D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0)$							

Table 1. The observation possibility of single-tags and double-tags at 4.03 GeV

Event selection

Single (charged) track selection

- $|\cos \theta| < 0.85$, to insure reliable MDC measurement.
- $|V_{xy}| < 1.2 cm, |V_z| < 15 cm$, tracks are required to be inside the interaction region.
- MFIT=2, -19, -9

Particle Identification

- Pions and Kaons are required to have a relative likelihood (*CL(type)*) greater than 1% for mass hypothesis, according to TOF and dE/dx information.
- More strictly cut for Kaons : $CL(K) > CL(\pi)$.

Singleton D tags Selection

- D production At 4.03 GeV:
 - 1. $D\overline{D^*}$ (charged and neutral)
 - 2. $D^* \overline{D^*}$ (charged and neutral)
 - 3. $D\overline{D}$ (charged and neutral)
- These procedures could be identified by the momentum distribution of the D-tag candidate.



Fig1. shows that $D\overline{D^*}$ and $D^*\overline{D^*}$ have large productions at 4.03 GeV. A fine momentum cut is applied to select D^0 and D^+ tags.

Mode	$D\overline{D^*}$	$D^*\overline{D^*}$
D^{0}	0.42	0.06
D^+	0.42 < <i>p</i> < 0.64	0.04

Table 2. Momentum cuts for D^0 and D^+ tags The production of $D\overline{D}$ are very low, it will be discard in further analysis. 180 120 160 100 140 120 80 100 60 80 60 40 40 20 20 01.8 01.8 1.82 1.84 1.86 1.88 1.9 1.82 1.84 1.86 1.88 1.9 $\psi(4030) \rightarrow (K^-\pi^+) + X$ $\psi(4030) \rightarrow (K^- \pi^+ \pi^+) + X$ $M_{K\pi} = M_X$ $M_{K\pi\pi} = M_X$ 134 ± 40 events 164 ± 46 events

Fig2. $M_{K\pi}$ and $M_{K\pi\pi}$ distribution via $D\overline{D}$ production

• Three D decay modes are selected in this analysis, they are: $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+, D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^-, D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$. The invariant mass distributions are shown in Figure 3.



Fig 3. Invariant mass distributions for D Tag candidates

Double Counting Correction

- Multi-entries:
 - 1. Particle misidentification
 - 2. Wrong combination
 - 3. Really double-tag events
- Keep 1 entry per event
- To evaluate the double counting ratio in signal region, a fine scan on single-tag mass plot was employed in following way:
 - 1. Center = $\leftarrow M_D \rightarrow$
 - 2. Size = $3\sigma_{M_p}$
 - 3. Step = $0.5\sigma_{M_p}$
- Same procedure for MC data



Double Counting Distribution

Ta	ags	Κπ	Κπππ	Κππ
	<i>M</i> _D	1.8647	1.8626	1.8684
	$\sigma_{_{M_{_D}}}$	0.0280	0.0186	0.0212
$D\overline{D^*}$	Total Counting 2600±101 2374±132		2374±132	2290±108
	D.C. Ratio	15.4%	17.7%	6.2%
	Net Counting	2200±103	1954±136	2147±109
	M _D	1.8662	1.8641	1.8694
	$\sigma_{_{M_{_D}}}$	0.0265	0.0169	0.0244
$D^*\overline{D^*}$	Total Counting	2168±59	1799±66	526±52
	D.C. Ratio	14.4%	8.0%	2.1%
	Net Counting	1856±60	1654±67	515±52

Double Tags Selection

• Loosening Momentum CUT:

1. For D^0 Tags:

0.06GeV/c<math>0.40GeV/c

2. For D^+ Tags

$$0.04GeV/c
 $0.42GeV/c$$$

• Tag side Mass CUT:

$$\left|M_{tag}-M_{D}\right|<3\sigma_{M_{D}}$$

 M_D, σ_{M_D} , is taken from the Single Tags mass plot fit.

• Charm Balance is required.



 $K^-\pi^+$ vs $K^+\pi^-$



 $K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ vs $K^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$



 $K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ vs $K^+\pi^-\pi^-$



 $K^-\pi^+$ vs $K^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$



 $K^-\pi^+$ vs $K^+\pi^-\pi^-$



 $K^-\pi^+\pi^+$ vs $K^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$

Monte Carlo efficiency

- BES $D\overline{D^*}$, $D^*\overline{D^*}$ generator + full detector simulation at 4.03 GeV.
- Single-tags:
 - 1. Tag side: specified Mode via D or $\overline{D^*}$
 - Recoil side: cocktail (all possible modes, *Br* according to PDG data).
 - 3. Double Counting correction was made.
- Double-tags:
 - 1. Tag side: specified Mode via D or $\overline{D^*}$
 - 2. Recoil side: specified Mode via D or $\overline{D^*}$

$Br(D^0 \to K^-\pi^+)$ Measurement

Data are splinted into 2 samples $(D\overline{D^*}, D^*\overline{D^*})$

1. $D\overline{D^*}$

Let

$$N_{0} = N_{D^{0}\overline{D^{*0}}} = L \cdot \sigma_{D^{0}\overline{D^{*0}}}$$
$$N_{c} = N_{D^{+}D^{*-}} = L \cdot \sigma_{D^{+}D^{*-}}$$

The observed Single-tags(S) and Double-tags(D) can be expressed as:

$$S_i^0 = 2N_0\varepsilon_iB_i + N_c\varepsilon_iB_iB_0^+$$

$$S_i^c = N_c\varepsilon_iB_i + N_c\varepsilon_iB_i(1 - B_0^+)$$

$$D_{ij}^{00} = f_{ij}N_0\varepsilon_{ij}B_iB_j$$

$$D_{ij}^{cc} = f_{ij}N_c\varepsilon_{ij}B_iB_j(1 - B_0^+)$$

$$D_{ij}^{0c} = N_c\varepsilon_{ij}B_iB_jB_0^+$$

where,

$$\begin{split} B_0^+ &= Br(D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0) \\ f_{ij} &= \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 2 & i \neq j \end{cases} \end{split}$$

2. $D^*\overline{D^*}$

Let

$$N_{0} = N_{D^{*0}\overline{D^{*0}}} = L \cdot \sigma_{D^{*0}\overline{D^{*0}}}$$
$$N_{c} = N_{D^{*+}D^{*-}} = L \cdot \sigma_{D^{*+}D^{*-}}$$

The observed Single-tags(S) and Double-tags(D) can be expressed as:

$$S_{i}^{0} = 2N_{0}\varepsilon_{i}B_{i} + 2N_{c}\varepsilon_{i}B_{i}B_{0}^{+}$$

$$S_{i}^{c} = 2N_{c}\varepsilon_{i}B_{i}(1 - B_{0}^{+})$$

$$D_{ij}^{00} = f_{ij}(N_{0} + N_{c}(B_{0}^{+})^{2})\varepsilon_{ij}B_{i}B_{j}$$

$$D_{ij}^{cc} = f_{ij}N_{c}\varepsilon_{ij}B_{i}B_{j}(1 - B_{0}^{+})^{2}$$

$$D_{ij}^{0c} = 2N_{c}\varepsilon_{ij}B_{i}B_{j}B_{0}^{+}(1 - B_{0}^{+})$$

where,

$$B_0^+ = Br(D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0)$$
$$f_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 2 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

In final, all single-tags and double-tags are employed in a χ^2 minimization fit :

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i} \frac{(S_{measure}^{i} - S_{pre}^{i})^{2}}{\sigma_{S_{measure}^{i}}^{2}} + \sum_{ij} \frac{(D_{measure}^{ij} - D_{pre}^{ij})^{2}}{\sigma_{D_{measure}^{ij}}^{2}}$$

Results

$$Br(D^{0} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}) = (3.9 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3)\%$$
$$Br(D^{0} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (8.0 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.5)\%$$
$$Br(D^{+} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}) = (9.6 \pm 3.0 \pm 0.8)\%$$

$$Br(D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0) = (57 \pm 10 \pm 4)\%$$

$$N_{D^0 \overline{D^{*0}}} = (5.69 \pm 1.23) \times 10^4$$

 $N_{D^{*+} D^-} = (5.23 \pm 1.55) \times 10^4$

$$N_{D^{*0}\overline{D^{*0}}} = (4.91 \pm 0.92) \times 10^4$$

 $N_{D^{*+}D^{*-}} = (1.88 \pm 0.47) \times 10^4$

The fit yields a χ^2 of 6.65 for 10 degrees of freedom

$D\overline{D^*}$					
Double Tags	$K^-\pi^+$	$K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$K^-\pi^+\pi^+$		
$K^+\pi^-$	14 ± 5	35 ± 7	15±6		
(fit)	17	33	16		
$K^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$		14±6	17±5		
(fit)		19	16		
$K^+\pi^-\pi^-$			22±5		
(fit)			22		
Single Tags	2200±103	1954±136	2147 ± 109		
(fit)	2270	1754	2155		

 $D^{\overline{}^{*}D^{*}}$

Double Tags	$K^-\pi^+$	$K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	$K^-\pi^+\pi^+$	
$K^+\pi^-$	25 ± 6	38±7	5±3	
(fit)	18	35	6	
$K^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^+$		13±5	5±3	
(fit)		18	5	
$K^+\pi^-\pi^-$			5±3	
(fit)			4	
Single Tags	1856 ± 60	1654±67	515±52	
(fit)	1839	1684	515	

Systematic Error

- Uncertainty in events selection : 3~7%
 - 1. Single track selection
 - 2. Particle identification
 - 3. Double counting correction
 - 4. Momentum CUT
 - 5. Sideband subtraction (Double-tags)
- Uncertainty in detection efficiency: ~5%

List of systematic errors

Term	Events	Detection	Total
Br	Selection	Efficiency	(%)
$Br(D^0 \to K^- \pi^+)$	0.2	0.2	0.3
$Br(D^0\to K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)$	0.3	0.4	0.5
$Br(D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$	0.6	0.5	0.8
$Br(D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0)$	3.0	2.9	4.0



